# DOES LOCAL FINANCIAL DEVELOPMENT MATTER?

LUIGI GUISO PAOLA SAPIENZA LUIGI ZINGALES

> Presenter: Yifei Jiang April.1<sup>st</sup>.2018

### Contents



Introduction: Data, Indicator, Instruments



Main Results: The Effects of Financial Development

- I. on the Probability of Starting a Business
- II. on the Age at which People Become Entrepreneurs
- III. on the Entry on New Firms
- IV. on the Degree of Competition in the Local Market
- V. on firm's growth



#### Conclusion

## Introduction

- the effects of differences in local financial development within an integrated financial market (Italy)
- Financial development enhances the probability an individual starts business, favors entry of new firms, increases competition, and promotes growth
- Weaker effects for larger firms
- Local financial development is an important determinant of the economic success

## Introduction: About Italy

- unified for the last 140 years, from both a political and a regulatory point of view.
- 20 regions and 95 provinces (110 provinces now)
- A 1936 banking law

strictly regulated entry up to the mid-1980s

National banks: main cities Cooperative and local commercial banks: within province Savings bank: within region

### Introduction: Data Sets

• The Survey of Households Income and Wealth (SHIW)

detailed information on demographic, income, consumption, and wealth of households; profession of different individuals

• Italian Statistical Institute (ISTAT)

numbers of firms, rate of formation, and the incidence of bankruptcy

• Centrale dei Bilanci (CB)

the balance sheets and income statements of firms

## Indicator of Financial Development

measures how easy it is for an individual to borrow at a local level

the probability that a household is shut off from the credit market

based on two questions:Applied for a loan and turned down?Thought of applied a loan but didn't?



1 - Conditional Probability of Rejection/ max {Conditional Probability of Rejection}

## Indicator of Financial Development

THE INDICATOR OF FINANCIAL DEVELOPMENT

#### 1 – Conditional Probability of Rejection/ max {Conditional Probability of Rejection}

Region	Coefficient on regional dummy	Normalized measure of financial development
Marche (Center)	0.118	0.587
Liguria (North)	0.118	0.586
Emilia (North)	0.136	0.523
Veneto (North)	0.138	0.516
Piemonte (North)	0.151	0.472
Trentino (North)	0.155	0.457
Lombardia (North)	0.161	0.435
Friuli ven. (North)	0.168	0.410
Umbria (Center)	0.172	0.398
Sardegna (South)	0.179	0.374
Toscana (Center)	0.183	0.360
Abruzzo (South)	0.183	0.359
Basilicata (South)	0.187	0.347
Molise (South)	0.215	0.248
Sicilia (South)	0.225	0.214
Puglia (South)	0.238	0.165
Lazio (South)	0.266	0.067
Campania (South)	0.278	0.027
Calabria (South)	0.286	0.000
F test for regional effects = 0		
(p-value): F(19, 8060)	4.95	
$\mathrm{Prob} > F$	0.0000	

#### Instruments: The structure of the local banking markets in 1936

	Financial
	development
	development
Branches per million inhabitants in the region in 1936	$0.0006^{*}$
	(0.0003)
Fraction of branches owned by local banks in 1936	$0.6121^{***}$
	(0.1758)
Number of savings banks per million inhabitants in the	
region: 1936	$0.0182^{*}$
	(0.0088)
Number of cooperative banks per million inhabitants in	
the region: 1936	$-0.0186^{***}$
	(0.0049)
Constant	-0.1230
	(0.1172)
Observations	19
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.720

DETERMINANTS OF FINANCIAL DEVELOPMENT

Dependent variable: **Financial development in the 1990s** 

Explanatory variables: **The number of total branches present in a region in 1936** 

#### Instruments: why 1936 & 1951

Banking structure differences in 1936: Higher concentration for savings banks in the North East and in the Center More savings bank survived, less smaller commercial banks and cooperatives

No provincial GDP in 1936: Use number of vehicles per inhabitants in 1936

GDP in 1951:

the time when Italy regained the prewar level of production the first date for which provincial GDP are available

### Instruments: why 1936 & 1951

1936 BANKING STRUCTURE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

A					
	Bank bran inhabitant ir	nches per 1000 is in the region n 1936	Fraction of bank branches owned by local banks in 1936		
Number of cars per capita –	.0119***	0.0050	0.0031	-0.0135**	
in a province in <u>1936</u> South dummy	(0.003)	(0.0037) -0.0904*** (0.0264)	(0.0059)	$(0.048) \\ -0.2156^{***} \\ (0.0442)$	
	Bank br inhabitan	anches per 1000 ts in the region in 1936	Fractio branche local ba	on of bank s owned by nks in 1936	
Log of provincial value added per capita in <u>1951</u> South dummy	0.1110** (0.045)	$-9.16e-06^{***}$ (1.48e-06) $-0.174^{**}$ (0.066)	0.076 (0.047)	$\begin{array}{r c} -0.135^{***} \\ \hline (0.048) \\ -0.238^{***} \\ \hline (0.033) \end{array}$	

## Instruments: why 1936 & 1951

-	

	No. of savin	ngs banks per	No. of cooperative banks		
	1000 inhab	pitants in the	per 1000 inhabitants in		
	region	in 1936	the region in 1936		
Number of cars per capita in a province in 1936 South dummy D	0.0002 (0.0001)	2.0e-5 (1.36e-5) -0.0026*** (0.001)	-0.0006*** (0.0002)	-0.0003 (0.0025) $0.0033^{*}$ (0.0017)	
	No. of savin	No. of savings banks per		rative banks	
	1000 inhat	1000 inhabitants in the		habitants in	
	region	region in 1936		n in 1936	
Log of provincial value added per capita in 1951 South dummy	0.003*** (0.001)	0.0010 (0.001) $-0.003^{***}$ (0.001)	-0.004** (0.002)	$-0.006^{***}$ (0.002) $-0.002^{*}$ (0.001)	

#### I. Effects on the Probability of Starting a Business

#### identify **individuals who are self-employed** (dependent variable) use **controls**

	ENTREPRENEURSHIP AND FINANCIAL DEVELOPMENT						
	Probit	Probit	OLS	IV	IV	IV-no South	
Financial development	0.0957***	0.0947***	0.0977***	0.0879**	0.0904**	0.1072*	
	(0.0342)	(0.0356)	(0.0337)	(0.0382)	(0.0412)	(0.0542)	
Per capita GDP/1000	-0.1608	-0.2107	-0.2321	-0.2346	-0.0272	0.0739	
-	(0.2389)	(0.2519)	(0.2542)	(0.2487)	(0.3860)	(0.4278)	
Judicial inefficiency	0.0072**	0.0077**	0.0081**	0.0079**	0.0064**	-0.0009	
·	(0.0033)	(0.0033)	(0.0034)	(0.0032)	(0.0030)	(0.0071)	
Social capital	0.0007	0.0004	0.0004	0.0005	0.0001	0.0003	
-	(0.0007)	(0.0012)	(0.0012)	(0.0011)	(0.0011)	(0.0020)	
Intergenerational transfers	0.0797***	0.0800***	0.0879***	0.0879***	0.0873***	0.0684***	
C	(0.0115)	(0.0115)	(0.0119)	(0.0116)	(0.0118)	(0.0161)	
Male	0.1000***	0.1000***	0.1015***	0.1015***	0.1015***	0.0876***	
	(0.0099)	(0.0100)	(0.0095)	(0.0092)	(0.0092)	(0.0058)	
Years of education	$-0.0072^{***}$	$-0.0072^{***}$	$-0.0073^{***}$	$-0.0073^{***}$	$-0.0072^{***}$	$-0.0069^{***}$	
	(0.0010)	(0.0010)	(0.0010)	(0.0010)	(0.0010)	(0.0011)	
Age	0.0015***	0.0015***	0.0015***	0.0016***	0.0016***	0.0015***	
-	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0005)	
South		-0.0085	-0.0050	-0.0051	-0.0168		
		(0.0200)	(0.0198)	(0.0197)	(0.0204)		
					-0.0049	-0.0059	
Per capita GDP/1000 in 1951					(0.0037)	(0.0041)	

1936

1951

#### II. Effects on the Age at which People Become Entrepreneurs

SELF-EMPLOYED AGE AND LOCAL FINANCIAL DEVELOPMENT OLS OLS IV IV IV-no South Financial  $-8.3117^{**}$  $-8.2923^{**}$ -6.0256 $-11.4730^{**}$ -5.8957development (3.2015)(3.2449)(4.8297)(4.5803)(4.6583)Per capita 124.1770\*\* 136.3543\*\* 132.2601\*\*\* 148.2946\*\*\* 134.6580\*\* GDP/1000 (44.1353)(47.9748)(45.9894)(43.2360)(56.4051)Judicial inefficiency -0.4637-0.5191-0.4921 $-0.6157^{*}$ -0.9670(0.3095)(0.3271)(0.3471)(0.3411)(0.7122)Social capital -0.07440.0144 -0.0144-0.01470.1343 (0.0961)(0.1518)(0.1415)(0.1386)(0.1957)South 1.37732.02422.0302(2.5451)(2.5146)(2.6273)Per capita -0.6965-0.4765GDP/1000 in 1951 (0.4509)(0.5142)Observations 9292929259 $R^2$ 0.1020.1230.1450.0930.0987

#### III. Effects on the Entry on New Firms

Dependent variable:

the fraction of new firms registered in a province scaled by the number of inhabitants

A: Entry of new firms						
	OLS	OLS	IV	IV	IV-no South	
Financial	49.057**	49.084**	44.149***	44.481***	42.048**	
development	(17.83)	(20.61)	(16.79)	(16.25)	(19.92)	
Per capita	$-1.221^{***}$	$-1.155^{***}$	$-1.150^{***}$	$-1.036^{***}$	$-1.245^{***}$	
GDP/1000	(0.31)	(0.34)	(0.32)	(0.27)	(0.23)	
Judicial inefficiency	-2.424	-2.648	-2.716	-3.475	-4.757	
	(2.71)	(2.53)	(2.40)	(2.49)	(4.44)	
Social capital	0.788	1.165	1.229	1.203	$1.816^{*}$	
_	(0.54)	(0.86)	(0.75)	(0.76)	(1.10)	
South	_	8.803	8.799	5.395		
		(11.50)	(11.07)	(12.10)		
Per capita		_	_	$-0.004^{**}$	$-0.003^{*}$	
GDP/1000 in 1951				(0.00)	(0.00)	
Observations	100	100	100	100	65	
$R^2$	0.187	0.190	0.1894	0.203	0.222	

#### IV. Effects on the Degree of Competition in the Local Market

Dependent variable:

Firm's markup as earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization divided by sales

FIRMS' MARKET POWER AND FINANCIAL DEVELOPMENT							
	OLS	OLS	IV	IV	IV-no South		
Financial development	$-0.0228^{**}$	-0.0230**	$-0.0201^{**}$	-0.0207**	-0.0300***		
	(0.0091)	(0.0096)	(0.0092)	(0.0091)	(0.0090)		
Per capita GDP/1000000	0.0055	0.0060	0.0060	0.0061	0.0069		
	(0.0049)	(0.0044)	(0.0044)	(0.0050)	(0.0046)		
Judicial inefficiency	0.0004	0.0002	0.0003	0.0003	0.0004		
	(0.0005)	(0.0005)	(0.0005)	(0.0005)	(0.0010)		
Log (size)	$-0.0021^{***}$	$-0.0021^{***}$	$-0.0021^{***}$	$-0.0021^{***}$	$-0.0021^{***}$		
	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)	(0.0003)		
Social capital	$-0.0003^{*}$	-0.0002	-0.0003	-0.0003	-0.0002		
	(0.0001)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)		
South		0.0014	0.0013	0.0013	0.0014		
		(0.0037)	(0.0040)	(0.0041)	(0.0037)		
Per capita GDP/1000 in				1.32e-08	1.79e-07		
1951				(4.14e-07)	3.67 e-07		
No. Obs.	296,846	296,846	296,846	296,846	258,016		
Adj. $R^2$	0.0224	0.0224	0.0224	0.0224	0.0248		

#### V. Effects on firm's growth

#### Dependent variable: Annual nominal rate of growth

		OLS	OLS	IV	IV	IV-no South		
	Financial development	0.0754***	0.0762***	0.0703***	0.0768***	0.0710**		
Internally financed	Internally financed growth	(0.0168) $0.0971^{***}$	(0.0191) $0.0969^{***}$	(0.0216) $0.0971^{***}$	(0.0209) 0.0970***	(0.0240) 0.0985***		
growth:	Per capita GDP/1000000	(0.0085) - 0.1210	(0.0086) - 0.1390	(0.0087) - 0.1390	(0.0086) -0.2030**	(0.0098) -0.1350		
$\max g =$	Judicial inefficiency	(0.0739) 0.0017 (0.0017)	(0.0900) 0.0022 (0.0013)	(0.0892) 0.0020 (0.0012)	(0.0990) 0.0012 (0.0014)	(0.0850) 0.0011 (0.0016)		
ROA/(1-ROA)	Size	0.0149***	0.0149***	0.0145***	0.0149***	0.0137***		
	Social capital	(0.0021) $0.0015^{***}$ (0.0003)	(0.0021) $0.0013^{*}$ (0.0006)	(0.0021) $0.0014^{*}$ (0.0006)	(0.0021) $0.0012^{*}$ (0.0006)	(0.0021) $0.0017^{*}$ (0.0008)		
	South	_	-0.0053 (0.0096)	(0.0000) -0.0049 (0.0101)	(0.0000) -0.0073 (0.0104)	_		
	Per capita GDP/1000 in 1951	—	—	_	-1.7e-06 (1.4e-06)	-2.36e-06 (1.58e-06)		
	No. obs. Adi, R <sup>2</sup>	252,101 0.0608	252,101 0.0608	252,101 0.0608	252,101 0.0609	217,834 0.0617		

THE EFFECT OF FINANCIAL DEVELOPMENT ON FIRMS' GROWTH

### Conclusion

• More financially developed:

easier to start business, younger entrepreneurs, favors formation of new firms, more larger firms, more competition, faster firms' growth

- Local financial development matter
- Local financial development is differentially important for large and small firms